

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THIS COURT:

This is an employment dispute concerning claims of race discrimination and retaliation arising under the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1981. Plaintiff, John Gregory Reilly, alleges as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff John Gregory Reilly ("Reilly" or "Plaintiff") is a citizen and resident of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas.
- 2. TXU Corp. is a Texas corporation authorized to and doing business in Texas and may be served by and through its registered agent for service, CT Corporation System, 350 N. St. Paul St., Dallas, Texas 75201.
- 3. TXU Business Services Company is a Texas corporation authorized to and doing business in Texas and may be served by and through its registered agent for service, Peter B. Tinkham, 1601 Bryan Street, Energy Plaza, 41st Floor, Dallas, Texas 75201.

- 4. Upon information and belief, TXU Business Services Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of TXU Corp. Upon information and belief, key aspects of Defendants' businesses such as aspects of their labor, employment, and human resources, are shared between the entities, with TXU Corp. overseeing and controlling material aspects of TXU Business Services Company, its subsidiary company. TXU Business Services Company and TXU Corp. are jointly and severally liable for the claims asserted herein (hereinafter these entities are jointly referred to as "TXU" or "Defendants").
- This Court has jurisdiction over Reilly's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 5. 1343 and 42 U.S.C. § 1981. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Reilly was employed by TXU in Dallas County, Texas.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- Reilly is a former employee of TXU. Reilly is Caucasian. 6.
- Reilly's employment with TXU began in November 1987. TXU discriminated 7. against Reilly when it failed to promote him to Strategic Sourcing Manager in July 2002 because of his race, Caucasian.
- In March 2002, Reilly applied for the Strategic Sourcing Manager position. At this 8. time, Reilly's job title was Information Technology Sourcing Coordinator in TXU's Procurement Services Department.
- Mary Gano (Senior Human Resources Representative) and Debbie Dennis (Senior 9. Vice President of Procurement Services) initially interviewed Reilly on May 3, 2002. The new position would directly report to Dennis. On May 15, 2002, Dennis interviewed Reilly with

Genneyle Dillingham (Human Resources Representative) and a panel of TXU managers, which included Ben Ezzell, Bob Gentry, Jim Breland, Cheryl Stevens, and Ramon Mendes.

- 10. Ben Ezzell was Reilly's direct manager at the time of his interviews for the Strategic Sourcing Manager position.
- 11. On June 20, 2004, Reilly attended a meeting with Ezzell to discuss the status of certain information technology contracts. Ezzell changed the subject to discuss the May 2002 interviews. Ezzell told Reilly that the May 15, 2002 interview went very well and that Ezzell and the other managers were impressed with Reilly. Ezzell continued by explaining to Reilly that Dennis "has a diversity issue" and because Dennis was chair of the TXU Workforce Diversity Program, "it would not look right if Debbie had all white males reporting directly to her."
- In July 2002, Dennis informed Reilly that he had not been selected for the Strategic 12. Sourcing Manager position. Dennis told Reilly that she felt it was important to bring in someone "external" to TXU for the position. Dennis told Reilly that he had been performing well as I/T Sourcing Coordinator and to continue.
- Later in July 2002, Dennis announced that Ayanna Clunis, an African-American 13. female, would be the new Strategic Sourcing Manager.
- TXU refused to promote Reilly to the Strategic Sourcing Manager position because 14. he was Caucasian.
- 15. TXU notified Reilly in May 2004 that he would be terminated. TXU offered Reilly severance benefits if he signed an agreement releasing any claims against TXU. Reilly refused to sign the agreement and release.
 - After TXU terminated Reilly, he was subsequently employed by Capgemini Energy 16.

- L.P. ("Capgemini"). Reilly learned in October 2004 that TXU informed Capgemini that Reilly had not signed a TXU release when he left TXU. Randy Jennings, a Capgemini Human Resources Representative, told Reilly that Cappenini had received information from TXU that Reilly had not executed the TXU release.
- TXU retaliated against Reilly for not signing the agreement which would have 17. released his employment discrimination claims against TXU and informed Reilly's subsequent employer about Reilly's refusal to sign the TXU release.
 - 18. Reinstatement is not feasible.

III. CAUSES OF ACTION

Race Discrimination A.

- Reilly realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 19. 18 as if fully stated herein.
- Defendants' actions as described above constitute unlawful discrimination on the 20. basis of Reilly's race under 42 U.S.C. § 1981.
- As a result of Defendants' discriminatory practices, Reilly has and will incur lost 21. wages and benefits, for which he hereby sues.
- As a further result of Defendants' discriminatory policies and practices, Reilly has 22. suffered non-pecuniary losses, including but not limited to emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses, thereby entitling him to compensatory damages.
- Defendants' actions were done with malice and/or with reckless indifference to 23. Reilly's protected rights, thereby entitling him to punitive damages.

24. Reilly also seeks reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

В. **Unlawful Retaliation**

- 25. Reilly realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 24 as if fully stated herein.
- 26. In addition to being racially discriminatory, Defendants' actions as described above also constitute unlawful retaliation under 42 U.S.C. § 1981. Specifically, TXU retaliated against Reilly by informing his subsequent employer that Reilly had not signed a release of any claims.
- 27. As a result of Defendants' retaliatory policies and practices, Reilly has and will incur lost wages and benefits, for which he hereby sues.
- As a further result of Defendants' retaliatory policies and practices, Reilly has 28. suffered non-pecuniary losses, including but not limited to emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses, thereby entitling him to compensatory damages.
- 29. Defendants' actions were done with malice and/or with reckless indifference to Reilly's state protected rights, thereby entitling him to punitive damages.
 - Reilly seeks reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. § 1988. 30.

IV. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff requests a jury trial on all issues in this case.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Reilly requests that Defendants be summoned to appear and answer, and that on final trial, judgment be granted against Defendants awarding Reilly the following:

Back pay, including but not limited to lost wages and other employment benefits; a.

- b. Reinstatement, or in the event that reinstatement is not feasible, front pay with respect to all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received but for Defendants' discrimination and retaliation;
- Compensatory and punitive damages, in the maximum amount allowed by law; c.
- Prejudgment and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law; d.
- Attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and e.
- Such other and further relief as this Court may deem proper. f.

Respectfully submitted,

GILLESPIE, ROZEN, WATSKY, MOTLEY & JONES, P.C.

3402 Oak Grove Avenue, Suite 200

Dallas, Texas 75204

Phone: (214) 720-2009

Fax: (214) 720-2291

Hal K. Gillespie

State Bar No. 07925500

Karla S. Jackson

State Bar No. 24041172

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet by law, except as provided I of the Clerk of Court for the I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	t and the information cor by local rules of court in purpose of initiating the	tained herein neit is form, appropria	her repl	ace nor supplement the fill Addical Conference of the STRUCTIONS ON THE F	ing and service of pleadir United States in Septemi EVERSE OF THE FORM	ngs or other papers as required per 1974, is required for the use)
JAN 1 2 2005 John Gregory Reilly CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) TXU Corp. And TXU Business Services Company COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE THACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER!) ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)						
Hal K. Gillespie, Karal S. Jackson Gillespie, Rozen, Watsky, Motley & Jones, P.C. 3402 Oak Grove Ave, Suite 200 Dallas, Texas 75204 (214) 720-2009						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN X' IN ONE BOX ONLY) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN X' IN ONE BOX FOR PLANTIFF (For Diversity Cases Only) AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) PTF DEF PTF DEF						
U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 1 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6						
Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties of Business In Another State in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a p 3 p 3 Foreign Nation p 6 p 6						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)						
CONTRACT		RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marina 120 Marina 120 Marina 130 Marina 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Exc. Veteraria) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteraria Benefits 150 Studential Studential 150 Studential Studential 150 Studential Studential 150 Studential Studential 150 Contract 155 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Asseult, Libel & Sander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Variacle Product Liability 355 Motor Variacle Product Liability CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	PERSONAL INJURY 382 Personal Injury — Med. Malapractics Ident Malapractics 385 Personal Injury — Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Presonal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 585 Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacata Seriacce HABEAS CORPUS: 530 General 535 Death Penelty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		□ 810 Agriculture □ 820 Other Food & Drug □ 825 Drug Releated Secture of Property 21 USC 881 □ 830 Liquor Laws □ 840 R.P. & Truck □ 850 Africe Regs. □ 580 Occupational Sefuty/Health □ 630 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Releations	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (823) □ 863 DWC/DWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XM □ 865 RSI (405(g))	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 430 Commerce/ICC Relas/etc. 460 Deportation 477 Reacteteer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 818 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Stampery Actions
220 Foredosure 230 Rent Lesse & Ejectment 240 Trints to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	TX 442 Employment TX 441 Housing/ Accommodations TX 444 Walfare TX 444 Walfare TX 444 Other Civil Rights			☐ 746 Reliwey Lebor Act ☐ 790 Other Lebor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (LLS, Plaintiff or Defandant) 175 - Third Party 26 USC 7609	
V. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Transferred from 1 a Remanded from 2 Appeal to District Judge from 5 another district (specify) Appeal to District Judge from 7 Magistrate Judgment						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE. DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY.) 42 U.S.C. § 1981						
-						
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND United CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: YES DIND						
VIII.RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE						
	2,2005	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIFT #	TNUCMA	Applying IFP		. Judge		IDGE